# **The Microchemistry and Microstructure of**  Magnesium-Doped Submicron  $\alpha$ -Alumina Powders **after Thermal Treatment at 1300°C**

**D. Monceau, C. Petot, G. Petot-Ervas** 

**CNRS, LPCM-UPR/211, Universit6 Paris XIII, 93430 Villetaneuse, France** 

# **M. J. Graham, P. N. Rowley & G. I. Sproule**

**Institute for Microstructural Sciences, National Research Council, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0R6** 

(Received 1 October 1992: **revised version received** 3 June 1993; accepted 15 June 1993)

## *Abstract*

*This paper considers the characterization of suhmicron*  $\alpha$ - $Al_2O_3$  powders, doped with MgO (550 and  $1650$  ppm by weight), and treated at  $1300^{\circ}$ C for different times and different cooling rates. X-Ray *photoeleetron speetroseopy (XPS) shows a strong sur[aee segregation o/ Mg. The extent Of segregation is higher for 1650-ppm-doped material than for 550ppm-doped material. The outer surface segregation gf Mg depends on the thermal treatment conditions. The powders have also been characterized by TEM, electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), SEM, surface area measurements and chemical analysis. TEM reveals a considerable agglomeration and some degree of sintering with the higher-doped powders.*  The size of the particles is between 50 and 500 nm and *their thickness is around lOOnm, depending on the amount ~/'magnesium and the thermal treatment.* 

*hn./blgenden wird die Charakterisierung yon submikrometer z-Al203-Pulvern betrachtet, die mit MgO (550 and 1650ppm Gew.%) dotiert, bei 1300°C*   $versioneden$  lange gehalten und anschließend bei *unterschiedlichen Raten abgekiihlt wurden. R6ntgenphotoelektronenspektroskopie (XPS) zeigte eine starke Ober/tdehensegregation yon Mg. Das Ausmafl*  der Segregation ist für das 1650 ppm dotierte *Material h6her als .fiir das 550ppm dotierte. Die Segregation yon Mg auf der dufleren Oberfldche*  hängt von der Wärmebehandlung ab. Die Charakteri*sierung der Pulver erfolgte mittels TEM, Elektronenverlustspektroskopie (EELS), SEM. Bestimmung der*  Oberflächengröße und chemischer Analyse. Die TEM-*Au[iTahmen lieflen eine betrdchtliehe Agglomeration und in gewissem Umfang Sinterung der h6her* 

dotierten Pulver erkennen. Die Größe der Teilchen *liegt zwisehen 50 and 500 nm und ihre Dicke betriigt etwa 100 ran, ahhdnig yon der Magnesiumzugabe und der Wdrmebehandlung.* 

Cette étude concerne la caractérisation de poudre *d'alumine-x submicronique dopée en magnésium (550* and 1650 ppm en poids de MgO), traitée à 1300°C durant des temps différents et refroidie à différentes *titesses. Des analyses XPS nous ont permis de mettre en évidence une forte ségrégation du magnésium. La profondeur de ségrégation est plus importante pour la poudre fortement dopée en MgO. De plus, la teneur en magnésium à la surface des grains dépend des conditions de traitement thermique. Les poudres ont été également caractérisées par analyse chimique, MET, EELS, MEB et leur surface spécifique a été*  $d$ éterminée par BET. L'observation au microscope *blectronique fi transmission des poudres fortement dopées met en évidence une agglomération importante* et un début de frittage des grains. La taille des particules dépend des conditions de traitement *thermique. Elle est comprise entre 50 et 500 nm et leur ~;paisseur est voisine de 100 nm.* 

# **1 Introduction**

**Powder densification and the characteristics of sintered ceramics are directly related to the quality of the ceramic powder used in the manufacturing process. Important properties of powders, such as size distribution, agglomeration, purity and surface area can strongly affect their sinterability. The segregation of impurities during powder preparation** can **be detrimental to subsequent ceramic** 

*Journal o/the European Ceramic Society* 0955-2219/93/\$6.00 © **1993 Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd, England. Printed in Great Britain** 

337

performance. It is important, therefore, to characize the microstructure and microchemistry of powders, particularly the nature and extent of impurity segregation. Modern surface techniques such as XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy), Auger and SIMS (secondary ion mass spectrometry) have the capability to analyse powder surfaces, although there are experimental difficulties with insulating materials like alumina.

In previous work XPS and Raman spectroscopy have been employed to examine yttrium and calcium segregation to the surface of submicron  $\alpha$ -alumina powders doped with yttria.<sup>1</sup> Also, preliminary data have been presented regarding XPS and TEM (transmission electron microscopy) studies of magnesium segregation to the surface of submicron  $\alpha$ alumina powders doped with 550 and 1650 ppm wt, or the equivalent, respectively, of 0.07 and  $0.21$  at.% magnesium.<sup>2</sup> This paper details the effect of thermal treatment at 1300°C on the physical and chemical characteristics of magnesium-doped  $\alpha$ -alumina powders, with emphasis placed on the use of XPS to determine the extent of magnesium surface segregation. The powders have also been characterized by TEM, electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), chemical analyses and surface area measurements.

# **2 Materials and Methods**

The magnesium-doped  $\alpha$ -alumina powders were prepared from the alum. By this method, the dopants are added before the decomposition of the hydroxides which occurs during the first calcination at approximately 300°C. This gives a uniform distribution of the dopants, which can react during the calcination with the nascent crystallites of  $\gamma$ alumina, whose reactivity is very high due to their high surface area. The next calcination was performed at 1300°C in large silica crucibles (20cm diameter and 15 cm high). Pt/Pt-10% Rh thermocouples placed in the powder, as shown in Fig. I, were used to monitor the thermal history of the powder at different positions in the crucible (Fig. 2). Samples from positions 6 and 7 with the largest



Fig. I. Position of the thermocouples in the silica crucible.



Fig. 2. Thermal treatment of alumina powders at different positions in the crucible: (a) 550 ppm Mg and (b) 1650 ppm Mg.

difference in cooling rate were taken for XPS, TEM and SEM analysis.

X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed in a Perkin Elmer Model 5500 system. Monochromatic aluminium  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation was used (1487 eV). The electrons emitted from the sample were filtered in a hemispherical analyser with a pass energy of 58 eV. The vacuum in the analyser chamber was lower than  $10^{-6}$  Pa. Calibration of the XPS spectra was performed using 'pure' alumina and  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  samples prepared by the sol-gel method. The observations have been made on compacted powder. Figure 3(a) and (b) shows the spectra obtained from the standard specimens. The results are obtained from a surface area of  $0.8$  mm  $\times$ 0.8 mm. By analysing magnesium lines:  $Mg_{1s}$ ,  $Mg_{2s}$ and  $Mg_{2p}$ , it has been possible to get an indication of the magnesium concentration with depth. $3$  Indeed, for  $Mg<sub>1s</sub>$ , with a kinetic energy of the electrons  $(KE = h\bar{\omega} - E_b$  with  $E_b$  the binding energy) equal to 187eV, the electron escape depth is about  $0.5$  nm, while for  $Mg_{2s}$  and  $Mg_{2p}$ , with kinetic energies equal to 1387 and 1437 eV, respectively, the electron escape depth is about 2 nm. Thus the  $Mg_{1s}$  data is more surface sensitive, giving the magnesium concentration over a depth of 2 or 3 monolayers, while the amount of magnesium calculated from the  $Mg_{2s}$  and



Fig. 3. XPS spectra of the standard specimens (a) 'pure'  $AI, O<sub>3</sub>$ and (b)  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  powders, and (c) specimen 1650/7 showing surface segregation of Mg and Na.

 $Mg_{2p}$  lines concerns a depth close to 2 nm. The XPS error in quantification is quite large because of the morphology of these samples and can be estimated to be around  $0.3-0.4$  at.%. Furthermore, because of peak overlaps the  $Mg_{2s}$  data is more accurate than the  $Mg_{2n}$  values.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and electron energy loss (EEL) experiments were carried out using a 80 kV Zeiss Model 902 electron energy loss imaging microscope. The powder was finely dispersed on carbon grids. Bright field images provided an indication of oxide grain size, and EEL quantification was attempted to determine the surface magnesium concentration. EEL spectra of 'pure' alumina and  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  are shown in Fig. 4. Powder quantification was made possible by comparison of the unknown with the spectrum obtained from the MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> standard shown in Fig. 4(b). In Fig. 4(b), the brackets indicate the threshold and extent of the  $Mg-L_{2,3}$  and  $Al-L_{2,3}$  edges. To quantify an unknown sample, both edges are integrated over an energy window of 20eV. The spectrum is background stripped and its  $Al-L_{2,3}$  edge normalized to the standard  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  spectrum. The Mg-



Fig. 4. EEL spectra of (a) 'pure'  $AI<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  and (b) MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and (c) specimen 1650/6 showing  $Mg-L_{2,3}$  and Al- $L_{2,3}$  edges (background stripped). The bars in [b) indicate the threshold and extent of the Mg- $L_{2,3}$  and Al- $L_{2,3}$ , respectively. (The 20eV windows for integration are taken from  $52 \text{ eV}$  for Mg-L,  $\frac{1}{3}$  and 75 eV for Al- $L_{2,3}$ .)

 $L_{2,3}$  edge is then integrated and the Mg concentration calculated relative to the standard. However, the error in quantifying the edges of the spectrum is significant (around  $50\%$ ), due both to the small energy window (20 eV) necessitated by the proximity of the edges, and to the difficulty in background stripping these low energy edges due to interference from plasmon transitions. The thickness of analysed particles is around 100 nm and surface areas ranged from  $8 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $0.5 \mu m^2$ . Consequently, in order to get segregation information, analysis has been performed on the edges of the grains.

#### **3 Results and Discussion**

### **3.1 Chemical analysis, surface area measurements (BET) and SEM observations**

The main impurities found in the powder are silicon, sodium, potassium (13ppm by weight), calcium

 $(< 10 \text{ ppm})$  and iron  $(< 10 \text{ ppm})$ . The silicon and sodium level depended on the position in the silica crucible (Fig. 1), the amount of Si varying from 19ppm (position 7) to 63ppm (position 6), and sodium from 15 ppm (position 6) to 22 ppm (position 7). The BET analysis showed that the powder in position 7 had the largest specific surface area and the powder corresponding to position 6 the lowest. For 1650-ppm-doped material the values in position 7 and 6 were  $9.4 \,\mathrm{m^2/g}$  and  $6.3 \,\mathrm{m^2/g}$ , respectively, whereas for 550-ppm-doped material the areas measured were  $8.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  and  $5.4 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ .

#### **3.2 Microchemical analysis**

XPS and EELS have been carried out on 550ppm and 1650 ppm Mg-doped powders taken from positions 6 and 7 after treatment at 1300°C (Figs 1 and 2) as well as the standard  $AI_2O_3$  and  $MgAI_2O_4$ powders. The different Mg-doped samples have been denoted as 550/6, 550/7, 1650/6 and 1650/7, respectively.

Regarding the XPS results, a strong segregation of Mg was observed for all powders. Ca, Fe, K and Si were not detected in these samples by XPS. The extent of magnesium segregation is given in Table 1, calculated from the  $Mg_{1s}$ ,  $Mg_{2s}$  and  $Mg_{2p}$  peaks. For 1650-ppm-doped material, about 4.5 at.% Mg is present in the outer 0.5-nm thick layer. This may correspond to an average of one monolayer of Mg. Precipitates of  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  are also likely to be present. The mean concentration of Mg is about 1 at.% when the thickness of the outer layer analysed is 2nm. Less surface segregation is observed for

Table 1. Near surface concentration (at.%) of magnesium from XPS analysis of the  $Mg_{1s}$ ,  $Mg_{2s}$  and  $Mg_{2p}$  peaks (error  $\pm 0.3$ -0.4%) in powders doped with 1650 and 550 ppm by weight of MgO (0.21 and 0.07at.% Mg); analysis depths for  $Mg_{1s} \simeq 0.5$  nm and for  $Mg_{2s}$  and  $Mg_{2p} \simeq 2.0$  nm

Sample and position in the crucible	$Mg_{1s}$	$Mg_{2s}$	$Mg_{2n}$
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 1650/6	$4-7$	1.0	0.9
$Al_2O_3$ 1650/7	$4 - 4$	$1-0$	$1-0$
$Al_2O_3$ 550/6	$3-4$	$1-0$	0.7
$Al_2O_3$ 550/7	2.4	0.6	0.5

550/6 and 550/7 samples, and the powder from position 7 appears to have less segregation (about  $2.3\%$  Mg in the outer 0.5-nm thick layer and 0.5 at.% in the top 2nm) than the powder from position 6 (about  $3.4\%$  Mg in the outer 0.5-nm thick layer and about 1 at.% when the thickness of the outer layer analysed is 2 nm). XPS also detected the presence of Na near the surface of powder from positions 6  $(0.6$  at.%) and 7 (1.4 at.%). A typical XPS spectrum from sample 1650/7 is shown in Fig. 3(c).

Regarding EEL analysis, estimation of Mg concentrations is also possible by comparison of the spectrum of Mg for the doped  $\alpha$ -alumina powder with that obtained with the  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  standard specimen. A typical EELS spectrum for sample 1650/6 is shown in Fig. 4(c). However, as mentioned previously, the error is large and it is not reasonable to quote concentrations to compare with the XPS values. Nevertheless, the trends of the results are similar as for XPS, with magnesium concentrations being higher for specimens 1650/6 and 1650/7.



**Fig. 5.** Bright field TEM and SEM images of Mg-doped alumina powders. TEM of(a) 1650/6 and (b) 1650/7, and SEM of(c) 550/6 and (d) 550/7.

### **3.3 Microstructural analysis**

TEM observations of the differently treated samples revealed that they possess similar microstructures. The grains often have elongated shapes (Fig. 5(a) and (b)) and their size varies from 50 to 500nm depending on the amount of Mg and the thermal history. Grain thickness (determined by EELS) is around 100nm. Consistent with the surface area measurements, as seen in Fig. 5, the size of grains in position 6 is larger than those in position 7 after the thermal treatment in Fig. 2. Experiments are in progress to examine the effect of these thermal treatments on the sintering kinetics and physical properties of alloy and ceramic coatings.

### **4 Conclusion**

After thermal treatment at 1300'C, magnesiumdoped x-alumina powders are comprised of elongated grains. The surface area of the powder decreases with increasing annealing time and increases for higher magnesium doping. A high segregation of Mg appears at the periphery of the grains leading to some precipitation of  $MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . XPS also detected the presence of Na in the surface region of the samples but no Si. The extent of magnesium segregation and precipitation is higher for 1650-ppm-doped material than for 550-ppmdoped powder. For the 550-ppm-doped powder the

amount of Mg increases for faster cooling rates. However, this effect is coupled with an increase of the size of the grains. Experiments are in progress to examine the influence of the cooling rate using powders of the same grain size.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are indebted to J. C. Droguet and L. Du Repaire (Baikowski-Chimie, Annecy) for their collaboration and fruitful discussions and J. W. Fraser for the electron micrographs. This work has been sponsored by the Ministère de la Recherche et de la Technologie-département Matière et Matériaux, Contract No. 90 A 0220.

#### **References**

- 1. Petot-Ervas, G., Lartigue, S., Severac, C., Barj, M. & Petot, C., Surface impurity segregation in submicron alumina powders. In *Proc. 11th Riso Int. Symp. on Metallurgy and Mat, Sci.*, *Structural Ceramics,* ed. J. J. Bentzen, J. B. Sorensen, N. Christiansen, A. Horsewell & B. Ralph. Riso National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark, 1990, pp. 459-64.
- 2. Petot, C., Petot-Ervas, G. Monceau, D., Loudjani, M. K., Rowley. P., Sproule, l., Mitchell, D. & Graham, M., Influence du traitement thermique sur la microstructure et la microchimie de poudres d'alumine-x submicronique dopées en magnesium. J. Annuelles du Groupe Français de Céramique, 1992, 19 23.
- 3. Powell, C. J.. *Sur/ace Science,* 44 (1974} 29 46.